

TA-W-29,173S Oklahoma
 TA-W-29,173T Oregon
 TA-W-29,173U Pennsylvania
 TA-W-29,173V Texas (except Houston)
 TA-W-29,173W Utah
 TA-W-29,173X Virginia
 TA-W-29,173Y Washington
 TA-W-29,173Z West Virginia.

TA-W-29,177, Shell Development Company, a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), Houston, Texas.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 20th day of April 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-10629 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

TA-W-27, 488, Unocal Corporation, A/K/A Union Oil of California, Mid-Continent Region, headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and operating out of the following districts and locations:

TA-W-27, 489, Rocky Mountain District, headquartered in Casper, Wyoming and operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 489A Cut Bank, MT.
 TA-W-27, 489B Antler, N.D.
 TA-W-27, 489C Worland, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489D Gillette, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489E Lander, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489F Mills, WY.

TA-W-27, 490, Southern Mid-Continent District, headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 489A Woodward, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490B Sayre, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490C Farnsworth, TX.
 TA-W-27, 490D Hominy, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490E Ardmore, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490F Madill, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490G Healdton, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490H Nacona, TX.
 TA-W-27, 490I Pauls Valley, OK.

TA-W-27, 491, Northern Mid-Continent District, headquartered in East Lansing, Michigan and operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 491A Olney, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491B Clay City, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491C Comfort, W.V.
 TA-W-27, 491D Noble, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491E West Liberty, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491F Grayling, MI.
 TA-W-27, 491G Breckenridge, MI.

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on August 28, 1992, applicable to all workers of UNOCAL Corporation, North American Oil and Gas Division, Mid-

Continent Region, headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The certification was subsequently amended November 3, 1992.

New information received from the State Agency show that some of the workers at UNOCAL had their unemployment insurance (UI) taxes paid to Union Oil of California.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of UNOCAL Corporation who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-27, 491 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of UNOCAL Corporation, a/k/a Union Oil of California, North American Oil and Gas Division, Mid-Continent Region, headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (TA-W-27,488) and the below cited operations who became totally or partially separated from employment or of after July 1, 1991 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974:

TA-W-27, 489, Rocky Mountain District, headquartered in Casper, Wyoming and operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 489A Cut Bank, MT.
 TA-W-27, 489B Antler, N.D.
 TA-W-27, 489C Worland, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489D Gillette, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489E Lander, WY.
 TA-W-27, 489F Mills, WY.

TA-W-27, 490, Southern Mid-Continent District, headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and Operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 490A Woodward, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490B Sayre, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490C Farnsworth, TX.
 TA-W-27, 490D Hominy, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490E Ardmore, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490F Madill, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490G Healdton, OK.
 TA-W-27, 490H Nacona, TX.
 TA-W-27, 490I Pauls Valley, OK.

TA-W-27, 491, Northern Mid-Continent District, headquartered in East Lansing, Michigan and operating out of the following locations:

TA-W-27, 491A Olney, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491B Clay City, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491C Comfort, W.V.
 TA-W-27, 491D Noble, IL.
 TA-W-27, 491E West Liberty IL.
 TA-W-27, 491F Grayling, MI.
 TA-W-27, 491G Breckenridge, MI.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 20th day of April 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-10628 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4515-30-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Panel for Cognitive, Psychological & Language Sciences; Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting for the Advisory Panel for Cognitive, Psychological & Language Sciences (#1758).

Name: Advisory Panel for Cognitive, Psychological & Language Science (#1758).

Date and Time: May 19, 1995, 9 a.m.-5 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 970, Arlington, VA 22230.

Contact Person: Paul G. Chapin, Program Director for Linguistics, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1731.

Agenda: To review and evaluate the outcome of NSF-funded projects in Linguistics.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the results and impacts of research projects funded by the NSF.

Purpose for Closing: The actions being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information, financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the actions. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: April 26, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-10581 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-139]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Regarding Proposed Order Authorizing Dismantling and Disposition of Component Parts University of Washington

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an order authorizing the University of Washington (UW) to dismantle their research facility located on the licensee's campus in Seattle, Washington, and to dispose of the reactor components in accordance with the application dated August 2, 1994.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

By application dated August 2, 1994, UW requested authorization to decontaminate and dismantle the UW research reactor, to dispose of its component parts in accordance with the proposed decommissioning plan, and to terminate Facility License No. R-73. The UW research reactor was shut down in June 1988, and has not operated since then. The reactor fuel was removed from the facility and shipped to a Department of Energy (DOE) facility as directed by the DOE in accordance with DOE, NRC, and Department of Transportation requirements.

Opportunity for a hearing was afforded by a "Notice of Proposed Issuance of Orders Authorizing Disposition of Component Parts and Terminating Facility License" published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 1994, (59 FR 45738). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

Need for Proposed Action

In order to prepare the property for unrestricted access and use, the dismantling and decontamination activities proposed by UW must be accomplished.

Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action

All decontamination will be performed by trained personnel in accordance with previously reviewed procedures and will be overseen by experienced health physics staff. Solid and liquid waste will be removed from the facility and managed in accordance with NRC requirements. The UW staff has calculated that the collective dose equivalent to the UW staff and public for the project will be less than 0.06 person-sievert (6.02 person-rem).

The above conclusions were based on all proposed operations being carefully planned and controlled, all contaminated components being removed, packaged, and shipped offsite, and the existence of radiological control procedures will be in place that will help to ensure that releases of radioactive wastes from the facility are within the limits of 10 CFR Part 20 and are as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).

Based on the review of the specific proposed activities associated with the dismantling and decontamination of the UW facility, the staff has determined that there will be no significant increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite, and no significant

increase in individual or cumulative occupational or population radiation exposure.

The staff has also determined that the proposed activities will not result in any significant impacts on air, water, land, or biota in the area.

Alternative Use of Resources

The only alternative to the proposed dismantling and decontamination activities is to maintain possession of the reactor. This approach would include monitoring and reporting for the duration of the safe storage period. However, UW intends to use the area for other academic purposes.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the State of Washington, Division of Radiation Protection, Debra McBaugh (360/586-8945), regarding the environmental impact of the proposed actions. There was no objection to the conclusions reached in the environmental assessment.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 2, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Project Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-10727 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Supplemental Environmental Statement; Availability

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a Supplement (NUREG-0498, Supplement 1) to the Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Watts Bar Nuclear

Plant Units 1 and 2 (issued in December 1978). This supplement documents the NRC's latest review of the environmental issues at Watts Bar Nuclear Plant.

Single copies of the supplement will be provided to the Environmental Protection Agency, the applicant, Appropriate State, regional and local clearinghouses, and each individual or group that provided written comments on the draft supplement.

ADDRESSES: Other interested parties can obtain a copy of the supplement, for a fee, upon written request to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082 or the Office of Administration, Distribution and Mail Service Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

An individual copy is available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott C. Flanders, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-1172.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The NRC issued the Final Environmental Statement concerning the operation of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant in 1978. The NRC reexamined the issues associated with the environmental review before issuance of an operating license in order to document the observed changes in the environment and to evaluate the potential changes in environmental impacts that may have occurred as a result of changes in the Watts Bar Nuclear plant design or proposed methods of operations since the last environmental review. The NRC evaluated a broad scope of environmental topics including regional demography, land and water use, meteorology, terrestrial and aquatic ecology, radiological and non-radiological impacts on humans and the environment, socioeconomic impacts, and environmental justice. The staff concluded that there are no significant changes in the environmental impacts expected from the operation of the Watts Bar plant when compared to the impacts previously discussed in the NRC 1978 FES-OL.

The applicant's preoperational and operational monitoring programs were reviewed and found to be appropriate for establishing baseline conditions and